Smart contracts, blockchain and distributed ledger technologies
A legal overview for insurers
Investment in blockchain, smart contract and related areas has been gathering pace and focus now turns to making the innovative ideas a reality in the financial services sector including insurance.

Clyde & Co’s team is actively engaged in reviewing such transactions on a multi-sector basis and our series of papers from 2016 dealing with some of the detailed considerations can be found at https://www.clydeco.com/insight/search/list/any/all-regions/any/any/any?q=Blockchain&section=&y=&m=&author=any&tag=&orderby=post_date&sort=desc.

We highlight below some of the core factors to consider when aligning the exciting new technologies with the existing legal framework.

What is blockchain and distributed ledger technology?
A blockchain is simply a database or ledger. Given its broad meaning, it can be a database of virtually any recordable information (for instance the transfer of bitcoins – its pure meaning). Blockchain platforms store data in “blocks” and “chain” them together to form a cohesive, unbreakable record of that information. The wider term is Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) which encompasses, but is not limited to, blockchain platforms.

The joint operation of two features in particular make DLT potentially revolutionary. First, identical copies of the particular ledger are stored on and accessed from many computers around the world – sometimes in the thousands or more, other times on a couple. Hence the distributed in DLT. Any attempted addition or change to the ledger is only allowed if permitted by the code; is authenticated by the entire network of servers and any validated change to one ledger automatically updates the others. Second, the cryptographic technology that validates the changes is both transparent to the whole network and secure records are created. Once amended the record cannot be altered (it is immutable). All of these events take place practically instantaneously.

Smart contracts
So-called smart contracts are coded instructions which execute on the occurrence of an event. These often use DLT or blockchain technology to record and execute transactions. Whilst their common name is arguably a misnomer (they are not necessarily contracts in the traditional legal sense), their implementation can enable, for example, insurance monies to be transferred virtually immediately on the occurrence of an event (such as a travel insurance payout following a delay to a flight). In their purest sense the code – once agreed – becomes self-executing and self-governing and thus (it is argued) replaces the need for a wider contract or checks and balances. Thus the code replaces traditional legal contractual script.

Usage in the insurance sector
There are various examples of how the technology may be utilised in the insurance sector, so as to produce what we have dubbed ‘Smart Policies’:

- Digital tracing and identification of assets linked to the relevant insurance (and see the Everledger records for diamonds)
- Parametric insurances where cover is triggered by external inputs such as weather data
- Back office claims functions in a subscription market
- In the marine and transportation sectors, verification of delivery and a running record of the status of the goods (for example, remote humidity controls) and pricing linked to Forex rates
- Application to the energy insurance market, in particular renewables, by covers triggered by grid input and other production data
- The scope, when allied with the Internet of Things, to realign policies away from disaster response to risk management

Any area is ripe for development in which the availability of trusted data held on secure ledgers, thus bypassing intermediation, allows either fractional costs savings at the lower end of the spectrum or whole new product models at the extreme.
Core considerations

Those who are charged with implementing Smart Policies will need to consider a number of key factors, each of which will turn on the nature of the transaction. At its simplest, they may relate to a simplified “railed” payment scheme or, at its most complex, a multi-tiered and multi-party placement involving insurers, reinsurers and retrocessionaires and potentially with linked financing support.

Whether to use a public blockchain/DLT platform (such as Ethereum) or a private platform to host the DAO or Smart Policy?

If a public platform is used, should it be permissioned access only or permissionless?

We consider that a private platform or permissioned public platform is likely the most suitable for commercial transactions.

Who is to run the Smart Policy? Where does liability lie for errors (if at all)? Will it be fully autonomous once coded?

Does subsidiary insurance or other financing such as Letters of Credit need to be in place and within the codified parameters?

An understanding of the contractual nexus with all necessary parties is crucial, and with all potential third parties could be hugely beneficial.
What is the impact of the three “F” words: frustration, force majeure and fraud?

Certainty as to rights and obligations as to the management of the platform itself and the Smart Policy is crucial.

Quality of the data input needs to be managed to avoid: “rubbish in: rubbish out”. Who will be the “Oracle”?

A single data entry point is preferable which requires trust. Should the codified outputs of the Smart Policy require verification or a “pause” period to allow a review?

Reliance on legal script or programming code tool?

We suspect that most Smart Policies will utilise a traditional scripted wrap-around master contract allied to a codified execution. This may allow permissioned adjustments of records.

If not immutable, which party has the power and responsibility to effect or manage changes?

Immutable (ie an unchangeable record) and fully binding, or mutable and capable of change?

Detailed consideration of the basis of the Smart Policy is required to analyse the appropriate data entry and management points.
In addition to the usual regulatory and contractual matters, we see three areas in which legal advice needs to be allied with appropriate programming and technical support:

- Creation of the Smart Policy, conceptually and in its detail
- Verification that the Smart Policy will work as intended and is legal compliant and enforceable (whether for one party or all parties)
- Resolution of any disputes or uncertainties that arise

None of these factors need inhibit the development of this technology. The insurance market, with its acceptance of risk and traditional reliance on short form contracts (slip policies) which build upon underlying agreements and relationships, is in our view ideally placed.
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